

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,313	12/04/2003	John Carlucci	CARL-001	2212
24574 75	90 01/30/2006	EXAMINER		
	NGELS, BUTLER & M	DONNELLY,	DONNELLY, JEROME W	
1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3764	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ب ا			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/730,313	CARLUCCI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jerome W. Donnelly	3764			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply		• /			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[2	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16/3/65					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)[X] 5)[] 6)[X] 7)[]	Claim(s) $\frac{I-19}{I}$ is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. $I-3 I S-II$ Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 4-7 and 19	nd 12-18			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	[†] .				
10) The drawing(s) filed on $2/4/23$ is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ,			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			Primary			
Attachmen						
2) Notic 3, Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,313

Art Unit: 3764

Applicant's election of Group 1 apparatus claims 1-3, 8-11 and 19 in the reply filed on 10-03-05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The restriction is made Final.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Noord in view of Chen.

In regard to claims 1 and 2 note fig. 1. Van Noord discloses a device comprising: a platform (102, 104) having top and bottom surfaces a rotating handle bar (168) which is slidably attach a element (132).

In regard to claim 3 Note the friction mechanism of fig. 2.

In regard to the device comprising a platform having a top surface and a bottom surface the examiner is considering (104 as a top surface and (102) as a bottom surface.

The examiner further notes that it would have been obvious to include legs on the device of Van Noord in view of Chen.

Claims 8, 9, 10, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McArthur.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,313

Art Unit: 3764

McArthur discloses a device comprising a platform (1)/bench comprising top and bottom surfaces a platform track, (shown as the member which houses element 3), a handle bar track which slidably engages said platform track a handle (6) and said handle bar being rotatable at pivot point 4.

The applicant has failed to claimed any specific feature of a handle bar which would preclude the examiner from designating element 6 of McArthur of being used and designated as a handle bar.

As broadly claim in claim 9 applicant can rotate the bar 6 to a plurality of selected positions.

In regard to claim 10 McArthur discloses a platform having a length greater than its width.

In regard to claim 11 said platform track is considered as said platform connector and said handle bar track is considered as said handle bar connector, as claimed in claim 8.

Claim 19 is fully disclosed by McArthur fig. 1b.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,313

Art Unit: 3764

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the overall device of Pfaus wherein Pfaus includes tracks and rotatable foot members (38).

Note fig. 10, of Harmon et al which shows a rotatable and slidable handle.

Note the pivotal handle of Reed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly